



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/891,169 | 06/26/2001 | Simon Tsang | 219.39511X00 | 1367 | |
| 75 | 90 01/31/2006 | EXAMINER | | | |
| STEVE YATES C/O BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025 | | | CHANKONG, DOHM | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2152 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/891,169 | TSANG ET AL. | TSANG ET AL. | | | | |
| | | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Dohm Chankong | 2152 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply | cation appe | ars on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence ac | idress | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IN 1997. The provisions of time rnay be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | AILING DATA of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. tutory period will vill, by statute, c | TE OF THIS COMMUNI (a). In no event, however, may a I apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company | , | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed | d on <u>25 No</u> | vember 2005. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖾 | Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the ap | oplication. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restrict | ion and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | • | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any object | tion to the dr | rawing(s) be held in abeyar | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| • | All b) Some * c) None of: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , | , (, (, (.). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F | | | s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0 | O-152\ | | | |
| | No(s)/Mail Date | 10/38/08) | 6) Other: | | J-102) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/891,169

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This action is in response to Applicant's arguments, filed 11.25.2005. Claims 1-16 are presented for further examination.

This is a final rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with regards to claims 1, 9 and 13 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's sole argument seems best summarized by the statement that "Smart allows for CIM applications to operate on DMI platforms...however, Smart does not allow for DMI component instrumentations to operate on a proxy CIMOM (see claim 1)." [Applicant's arguments, page 10, § 1].

This argument is unpersuasive as nothing in claim 1 discloses functionality of a DMI component instrumentation operating on a proxy CIMOM. The only limitations in claim 1 in regards to the DMI component instrumentations are: (1) the DMI component instrumentations are coupled to a DMI service provider; (2) a CMI|DMI provider that registers the DMI component instrumentations; and (3) possibly receiving translated interrupts, events and information from the CIM|DMI provider. There is no limitation requiring the DMI component instrumentation to operate on a proxy CIMOM.

Further, it should be noted that the last limitation merely requires that the interrupts, events and information are translated to a format suitable for either the CIM client applications or the plurality of DMI component instrumentations, but not necessarily the

Application/Control Number: 09/891,169

Art Unit: 2152

DMI component instrumentation. Thus there does not seem to be any requirement that the DMI component instrumentation needs to even communicate with the proxy CIMOM. Furthermore, as set forth in the previous rejection, filed 8.22.2005, Smart discloses "gathering information and translation [sic] between CIM and DMI format (page 1) and performs unit translation between DMI and CIM (page 2)." [page 3, ¶ 1]. Smart also discloses constructing "DMI and CIM component instrumentation to interface with the service provider." [page 1, lines 18-19»]. Both DMI and CIM component instrumentation thus are coupled and able to communicate with the provider.

This functionality suggests that information received from either end (CIM applications or DMI instrumentation) is translated to a format suitable for the other side which reads on the last limitation of claim 1.

Based on the preceding remarks, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive and the 35 U.S.C § 103(a) rejections set forth in the previous action, filed 8.22.2005, are maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2152

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dohm Chankong whose telephone number is 571.272.3942.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday [7:00 AM to 5:00 PM].

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571.272.3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DC

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER